HEGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 0 2007

* DUTARUO .

PAGE 1/6 * RCVD AT 3/20/2007 1:05:09 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/8 * DNIS:2734807 * CSID:9725992899

Tel: 972.599.2888

LAW OFFICES OF

F. LINDSEY SCOTT

ATTORNEY AT LAW
PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT MATTERS
SUITE B
2329 Cold Road

Plano, Texas 75075

Fax: 972.599.2899

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: 3-20-06 NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: C+5

TO: W.C. Doerler

COMPANY: USPTO

FAX NUMBER: 571 273 480 7

FROM: F. Lindsey Scott

. . .

OUR FILE NO.: BPA=25,675-39816-01 P

YOUR FILE NO .: 10/674,022

The information contained in or attached to this FAX message is intended only for the <u>CONFIDENTIAL</u> use of the individual(s) named above. If you are not the named recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the named recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that review, dissentination or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original documents to us by mail. Thank you.

REMARKS: Per you teleson with me loday

If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact (972) 972.599.2831

Docket No. BPAI-25,675-39816-01P

RECEIVED
PATENT CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 0 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

Application No.: 10/674,022

Applicant: Richard Jones, Jr., et al

Filed: 09/29/03 TC/AU: 1744

Examiner: Doerrler, W. C.

MS No Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION

The Amendment mailed January 9, 2007 is considered by Applicants to be fully responsive to both the Office Action mailed November 21, 2006 and the Office Action mailed December 11, 2006.

In the earlier Office Action, Applicants' claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 90, 14-18 and 20 were considered allowable subject to the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer. Such a Terminal Disclaimer was filed but was subsequently discovered to be in error. The error resulted in the non-acceptance of the Terminal Disclaimer. This non-acceptance was the basis for the further rejection cited in the Office Action mailed December 11, 2006. The amendment mailed January 9, 2007 is considered to obviate the double-patenting rejection over a co-pending patent application.

Accordingly, it is considered that the amendment mailed January 9th is fully responsive to both currently outstanding Office Actions, i.e., the Office Action mailed November 21, 2006 and the Office Action mailed December 11, 2006.

As previously requested, it is considered that Applicants' claims are now in condition for allowance and such is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

F. Lindsey Scott () Registration No. 26,230

indsey Scott

972.599.2888

Attorney for Applicants